

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE AD6859USCIP 1632 Edward G. Brugel 10/698,275 10/31/2003 EXAMINER 23906 7590 04/06/2005 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY ACQUAH, SAMUEL A LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1711 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | m/ |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/698,275 | BRUGEL ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | SAMUEL A. ACQUAH | 1711 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 | February 2005. | . ** |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 1031</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | / (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 8) Notice of Informal I | Patent Application (PTO-152) |

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berkane et al.

The cited prior art discloses a process for the enzyme-catalyzed synthesis of cyclic oligomeric polyesters comprising the reaction of diesters and diols in a solvent at different temperatures, and in the presence of enzymes such as claimed. See pages 7729-7731 for a disclosure of the type of diesters and diols. An example of the solvent is toluene.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lavalette et al and Mezoul et al.

Both of the cited prior arts disclose process for the preparation of enzyme-catalyzed synthesis of cyclic ester oligomers in the presence of an enzyme from linear ester oligomers in dissolved in a solvent as claimed. See pages 225-227 of Lavalette and pages 541-544 of Mezoul. The prior art disclosures have features and characteristics as claimed.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re*

Art Unit: 1711

Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/426,600. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed process herein of preparing cyclic ester oligomers by contacting a linear ester oligomer dissolved in a solvent with an enzyme to generate a solution followed by the separation of the oligomer from solution, is obviously the same and patentably indistinguishable from the process in the '600 which is a process for the production of a cyclic ester oligomer derived from an aromatic acid and a diol in a solvent, and in the presence of a second component which is an enzyme as claimed, and at selected temperatures.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 5. References listed on PTO-1449 have been made part of the record.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 03/31/05

SAMUEL A. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 170 D